



Date of Presentation: May 7, 2024

Project Name: Piper’s Meadow Park

Project Manager: Sean Duncan

Project Location: 7403 Joe Newton, San Antonio, TX 78251

Funding source: 2022-2027 Bond Program

Project Goal: Complete improvements at Piper’s Meadow Park utilizing funds from the 2022-2027 City of San Antonio Bond Program.

Goal of Public Engagement: To **inform** the public input on the schematic plan developed from their previous input on desired improvements for Pipers Meadow Park utilizing 2022-2027 Bond Program funds.

Target Audience: A presentation was made to the Public regarding the upcoming 2022-2027 Bond Project at Pipers Meadow Park.

Approximate Project Timeline:

MILESTONE	COMPLETION DATE
Estimated Design Phase	Winter 2024 through Fall 2024
Estimated Bidding Phase	Fall 2024 through Summer 2025
Estimated Construction Phase	Summer 2025 through Spring 2026

Meeting Report

Sean Duncan- Public Works Dept., City of San Antonio

- ❖ Stated purpose of meeting
- ❖ Introduced Eric Reyna, Parks and Recreation Dept.

Public Works Presentation

- ❖ Design consultant reviewed existing site amenities.
- ❖ Next the team presented the Schematic Plan, Trail Lighting, park amenities, fitness equipment, interpretive signage, trail markers, boulders, and the potential addition of a nature trail.
- ❖ Design Team presented.
- ❖ Public Works – presented estimated project timeline.



Community Feedback/Questions/Comments

- ❖ What is the experience we have had with the large interpretive signs in terms of graffiti?
 - Signs typically have anti-graffiti coating. Community members can report when signs have graffiti for this to be addressed by parks staff.
- ❖ Nothing for children?
 - No, based on input for previous meetings. Lighting, fitness and nature trail were expressed as priorities by the community previously. Originally Timber Ridge nearby is designated as more active park, while Pipers is passive and nature-oriented.
 - Is there wiggle room for Timber being active park vs. Pipers being more passive?
 - Yes, depending on budget and what public input yields.
- ❖ Parking concerns, 2 ADA, 2 regular. Will we add more?
 - No, due to the classification of the park, there is minimal parking spots. It is intended and classified as a neighborhood park, community to walk to park.
- ❖ Will there be more of a walking space in the back?
 - Yes, a DG trail is intended but it will not be accessible. The topography of this area limits use. Also, not a lot of space before we hit property line.
- ❖ Will there be additional lighting to the back of the park?
 - No, only the small loop due to budget and to allow for wildlife to continue to use and habituate the site.
- ❖ Signage comments:
 - Little ones vs. one large interpretive sign
 - Consensus agreed on one large sign telling the story of the site.
- ❖ Fitness equipment options
 - Community agreed with the equipment selected for the site.
- ❖ Are there a lot of birds on this site? Comment on placing a bird blind of sorts for bird viewing.
 - Eric mentioned that there may be a space for this near a picnic unit, or in a secluded area of the site. Design team to explore this further.
 - If this does not fit in the current budget, may be an idea for a scout addition to the site.
- ❖ Water fountains?
 - Previous concerns on costs on connecting water.
 - This park could stay on the list for future funding if there is another phase wanted.
 - Consensus seemed that the community was not wanting one added due to attracting house-less individuals.
- ❖ Little library on site/book exchange?
 - Councilwoman mentioned this could be coordinated with local scouting groups to add.
- ❖ Site Lighting: motion sensors, or on/off with 30% lighting at night?
 - Based on research, we will go with 30%, full lighting until 11pm, 30% lighting after that. Motion sensors will be set off by deer/wildlife.

-----End of Notes-----